Patterns of Care in Medical Oncology

T-Cell Lymphoma

CASE 6: A 45-year-old man diagnosed with celiac disease presents 12 months later with bowel obstruction and is diagnosed with enteropathy-associated T-cell lymphoma. He receives treatment on a SWOG protocol with cisplatin, etoposide, gemcitabine and methylprednisolone sodium succinate.

Approximately 18 months later, liver and lung lesions are detected on surveillance imaging. Biopsy confirms recurrent T-cell lymphoma, and the patient receives an investigational Aurora kinase inhibitor. He achieves a CR and then receives consolidation autologous transplant.

— Dr Friedberg

What is your typical induction therapy for peripheral T-cell lymphoma (PTCL)?

| | CI | РО |
|--|-----|-----|
| I do not have any experience treating PTCL | 0% | 18% |
| СНОР | 76% | 68% |
| EPOCH | 8% | 0% |
| Pralatrexate | 4% | 4% |
| Fludarabine-based regimen | 0% | 6% |
| Other | 12% | 4% |

What is your typical treatment for relapsed or refractory PTCL?

| | CI | РО |
|---|-----|-----|
| I do not have any experience treating relapsed PTCL | 4% | 9% |
| Salvage regimen such as ICE, ESHAP or DHAP | 48% | 32% |
| Gemcitabine-based regimen | 28% | 5% |
| Pralatrexate | 16% | 37% |
| Romidepsin | 4% | 2% |
| Other* | 0% | 15% |

* CHOP, fludarabine-based regimen, alemtuzumab, denileuken diftitox

PROPEL study: Single-agent pralatrexate in relapsed or refractory PTCL

| Efficacy (| n = 109) |
|------------|----------|
|------------|----------|

| Complete response | Partial response | Overall response | |
|----------------------------|------------------|------------------|--|
| 11% | 18% | 29% | |
| Safety | | | |
| Grade 3/4 thrombocytopenia | 32% | | |
| Grade 3/4 mucositis | 22% | | |
| Grade 3/4 neutropenia | 22% | | |
| Grade 3/4 anemia | 18 | 8% | |

Research To Practice®

O'Connor OA et al. *J Clin Oncol* 2011;[Epub ahead of print].

In the past year, have you administered any of the following treatments to your patients with PTCL?

| | CI | РО |
|----------------------|-----|-----|
| Stem cell transplant | 96% | 35% |
| Pralatrexate | 80% | 39% |
| Vorinostat | 32% | 29% |
| Alemtuzumab | 32% | 21% |
| Denileukin diftitox | 32% | 18% |
| Romidepsin | 32% | 13% |

Cl n = 25; PO n = 82

Activity of romidepsin in relapsed or refractory PTCL and cutaneous T-cell lymphoma (CTCL)

| | PTCL | CTCL |
|--------------------------------------|------|------|
| Overall response (percent) | 38 | 34 |
| Complete response (percent) | 15 | 6 |
| Median duration of response (months) | 10 | 14 |

Romidepsin resulted in clinically meaningful responses in CTCL and PTCL.

Research To Practice®

Coiffier B et al. *Proc EHA* 2010; Abstract 572.

CASE 7: A 66-year-old man with heavily pretreated CTCL — he has received PUVA (psoralen with UVA therapy), TSEB (total skin electron beam therapy), oral bexarotene and denileukin diftitox — develops adenopathy, and biopsy reveals transformation to large T-cell lymphoma. He receives CHOP x 6 with achievement of a CR followed by consolidation autotransplant. The disease recurs 6 months after transplant, and the patient undergoes repeat skin electron beam therapy with prednisone.

— Dr Smith

In the past 2 years, have you cared for a patient with CTCL either receiving active treatment or under surveillance?

| | CI | РО |
|------------------|-----|-----|
| % responding yes | 64% | 53% |

In the past 2 years, have you administered any of the following agents to patients with CTCL? (Check all that apply)

| | % responding yes | |
|---------------------|------------------|-----|
| | CI | PO |
| Denileukin diftitox | 44% | 36% |
| Romidepsin | 37% | 25% |
| Vorinostat | 32% | 39% |
| Bortezomib | 12% | 15% |
| Temozolomide | 6% | 13% |
| Belinostat | 6% | 0% |

In general, how would you characterize the safety of each of the following agents?

Denileukin diftitox

| | CI | РО | |
|-------------------------|-----|-----|--|
| Somewhat to very safe | 56% | 43% | |
| Somewhat to very unsafe | 44% | 29% | |
| l don't know | 0% | 28% | |
| Romidepsin | | | |
| | CI | РО | |
| Somewhat to very safe | 84% | 49% | |
| Somewhat to very unsafe | 8% | 4% | |
| I don't know | 8% | 47% | |

In general, how would you characterize the safety of each of the following agents? (continued)

Pralatrexate

| | CI | РО |
|-------------------------|-----|-----|
| Somewhat to very safe | 83% | 68% |
| Somewhat to very unsafe | 17% | 2% |
| l don't know | 0% | 30% |

