

Key ASH Presentations Issue 8, 2012

For more visit ResearchToPractice.com/5MJCASH2012

Research To Practice®

CME Information

LEARNING OBJECTIVES

- Apply emerging clinical trial data to the rational selection of treatment with targeted tyrosine kinase inhibitors for patients with refractory or relapsed chronic myeloid leukemia.
- Assess the risks of molecular relapse after the discontinuation of treatment with targeted tyrosine kinase inhibitors in patients with chronic myeloid leukemia.
- Communicate the benefits and risks of therapy with multitargeted tyrosine kinase inhibitors for patients with newly diagnosed, relapsed or refractory chronic myeloid leukemia in chronic, accelerated or blast phase.
- Compare and contrast the benefits and adverse effects of continuous therapy with different targeted tyrosine kinase inhibitors in the treatment of chronic myeloid leukemia.

CREDIT DESIGNATION STATEMENT

Research To Practice designates this enduring material for a maximum of 1.5 AMA PRA Category 1 CreditsTM. Physicians should claim only the credit commensurate with the extent of their participation in the activity.

CME Information (Continued)

HOW TO USE THIS CME ACTIVITY

This CME activity contains slides and edited commentary. To receive credit, the participant should review the slide presentations, read the commentary, complete the Post-test with a score of 75% or better and fill out the Educational Assessment and Credit Form located at ResearchToPractice.com/5MJCASH2012/8/CME.

FACULTY DISCLOSURES

The following faculty (and their spouses/partners) reported real or apparent conflicts of interest, which have been resolved through a conflict of interest resolution process:

Srdan Verstovsek, MD, PhD

Associate Professor Chief, Section of Myeloproliferative Neoplasms Director, Clinical Research Center for Myeloproliferative Neoplasms Department of Leukemia The University of Texas MD Anderson Cancer Center Houston, Texas

No real or apparent conflicts of interest to disclose.

Nilotinib versus Imatinib in Patients (pts) with Newly Diagnosed Philadelphia Chromosome-Positive (Ph+) Chronic Myeloid Leukemia in Chronic Phase (CML-CP): ENESTIN 36-Month (mo) Follow-Up¹

Complete Molecular Response (CMR) Rate with Nilotinib in Patients (pts) with Chronic Myeloid Leukemia in Chronic Phase (CML-CP) without CMR After ≥ 2 Years on Imatinib: Preliminary Results from the Randomized ENESTcmr Trial of Nilotinib 400 Mg Twice Daily (BID) vs Imatinib²

Results From the ENESTnd Extension Study: Efficacy and Safety of Patients (pts) with Chronic Myeloid Leukemia in Chronic Phase (CML-CP), Treated with Nilotinib 400 Mg Twice Daily (BID) After Suboptimal Response (SoR) or Treatment Failure (TF) to Imatinib 400 Mg Once Daily (QD) or Nilotinib 300 Mg BID³

¹Saglio G et al. Proc ASH 2011; Abstract 452.
²Hughes TP et al. Proc ASH 2011; Abstract 606.
³Hochhaus A et al. Proc ASH 2011; Abstract 114. Nilotinib versus Imatinib in Patients (pts) with Newly Diagnosed Philadelphia Chromosome-Positive (Ph+) Chronic Myeloid Leukemia in Chronic Phase (CML-CP): ENESTnd 36-Month (mo) Follow-Up

Saglio G et al.

Proc ASH 2011; Abstract 452.

Background

- At a 2-year minimum follow up, the Phase III ENESTnd trial demonstrated that nilotinib is more effective than imatinib and is well tolerated in patients with newly diagnosed CML (*Lancet Oncol* 2011;12:841).
- However, historical data from the IRIS trial showed that most events of disease progression with imatinib occurred within the first 3 years of treatment (*Leukemia* 2009; 23: 1054).
- <u>Objective:</u>
 - Report on a 3-year minimum follow-up of ENESTnd to verify the benefits of nilotinib in patients with newly diagnosed CML.

Saglio G et al. Proc ASH 2011; Abstract 452.

ENESTnd Trial Design



Randomization was stratified by Sokal risk score

- Efficacy analysis based on the intent-to-treat population included all patients.
- Response assessments were performed during study treatment.
- Time to progression to accelerated-phase (AP)/blast crisis (BC) and overall survival (OS) were evaluated during follow-up every 3 months and after treatment discontinuation.

Saglio G et al. Proc ASH 2011; Abstract 452.

Cumulative Incidence of Major Molecular Response (MMR)



With permission from Saglio G et al. *Proc ASH* 2011; Abstract 452.

Cumulative Incidence of Deeper Molecular Response (MR^{4*})



Months Since Randomization

* Equivalent to BCR-ABL^{IS} transcript levels of ≤0.01%

With permission from Saglio G et al. Proc ASH 2011; Abstract 452.

Cumulative Incidence of Deeper Molecular Response (MR^{4.5*})



* Equivalent to BCR-ABL^{IS} transcript levels of $\leq 0.0032\%$

Saglio G et al. Proc ASH 2011; Abstract 452.

Progression to AP/BC* on Core Treatment



- * Progression to AP/BC or death following progression
- No new progressions occurred on core treatment since 2-year analysis.

With permission from Saglio G et al. *Proc ASH* 2011; Abstract 452.

Survival Outcomes

	Nilotinib	Nilotinib	I matinib
	300 mg BID	400 mg BID	400 mg QD
Estimated 3-y OS	95.1%	97.0%	94.0%
Hazard ratio	0.8	0.5	_
(95% CI)	(0.4-1.6)	(0.2-1.1)	
<i>p</i> -value	0.4413	0.0639	

- There were 38 deaths in total: nilotinib 300 mg (13), nilotinib 400 mg (8), imatinib 400 mg (17).
- Out of the total deaths, 23 occurred following progression to AP/BC: Nilotinib 300 mg (5), nilotinib 400 mg (4), imatinib 400 mg (14).

Saglio G et al. Proc ASH 2011; Abstract 452.

Author Conclusions

- Follow-up for 3 years confirmed the superiority of nilotinib over imatinib in the treatment of newly diagnosed CML-CP.
- Nilotinib demonstrated an acceptable tolerability profile in patients with newly diagnosed CML-CP (data not shown).
- Nilotinib continues to demonstrate:
 - Significantly higher and faster rates of MMR, MR⁴ and MR^{4.5}.
 - Significantly higher responses across all Sokal risk groups (data not shown).
 - Significantly decreased risk of progression to AP/BC and death following progression.

Saglio G et al. Proc ASH 2011; Abstract 452.

Complete Molecular Response (CMR) Rate with Nilotinib in Patients (pts) with Chronic Myeloid Leukemia in Chronic Phase (CML-CP) without CMR After ≥ 2 Years on Imatinib: Preliminary Results from the Randomized ENESTcmr Trial of Nilotinib 400 Mg Twice Daily (BID) vs Imatinib

Hughes TP et al. Proc ASH 2011; Abstract 606.

Background

- Previous studies showed that 40% of patients with CML-CP who achieved durable CMR after treatment with imatinib were able to cease therapy without recurrence (*Lancet Oncol* 2010; 11: 1029).
- A large portion of patients (55%) with CML do not achieve CMR on imatinib, even with long-term therapy (>6 y) (Clin Cancer Res 2007;13:7080).
- In the ENESTnd trial, the number of patients who achieved MMR, MR⁴ and MR^{4.5} was significantly higher with nilotinib therapy than with imatinib (*Lancet Oncol* 2011; 12:841).

• <u>Objective:</u>

 Determine whether patients with CML-CP on long-term imatinib would be more likely to achieve undetectable BCR-ABL levels if therapy were switched to nilotinib.

Hughes TP et al. Proc ASH 2011; Abstract 606.

Study Design



CCyR, complete cytogenic response

Primary endpoint

- Confirmed CMR (undetectable BCR-ABL [with ≥4.5-log assay sensitivity]) by 12 months **Secondary endpoints**
- Kinetics of molecular response (RQ-PCR for primary and secondary endpoints were performed every 3 months)
- Safety profile

Hughes TP et al. Proc ASH 2011; Abstract 606.

Primary Endpoint in the Intention-to-Treat Population



- The intention-to-treat population included all patients randomized to the study.
- At 12 months, 14.9% of patients on nilotinib vs 6.1% on imatinib achieved confirmed CMR (p = 0.04).

With permission from Hughes TP et al. Proc ASH 2011; Abstract 606.

Molecular Response* in Patients without Indicated Response at Baseline



* Follow-up period of 12 months

† With \geq 4.5-log assay sensitivity

With permission from Hughes TP et al. Proc ASH 2011; Abstract 606.

Drug-Related Adverse Events (AEs)

Event	Nilotinib (n = 104)	Imatinib (n = 103)
Any AE	88%	53%
Grade 3/4 AEs	29%	2%
AEs leading to discontinuation	9%	0%
Serious AEs	4%	0%

- Patients experienced AEs early on nilotinib after switch from long-term imatinib therapy.
- However, these AEs were expected and consistent with the safety profile of nilotinib observed in other studies.

Hughes TP et al. Proc ASH 2011; Abstract 606.

Author Conclusions

- For patients with ongoing BCR-ABL-positivity on imatinib therapy, switching to nilotinib leads to faster and deeper molecular responses.
- Deeper molecular responses on nilotinib therapy may increase the eligibility of patients for future TKI discontinuation studies.
- The ultimate success of this strategy will be assessed in the prospective ENESTop discontinuation study.

Hughes TP et al. Proc ASH 2011; Abstract 606.

Investigator Commentary:

Nilotinib versus I matinib in Patients with Newly Diagnosed Ph+ CML-CP: 36-Month ENESTnd Follow-Up¹

CMR Rate with Nilotinib in Patients with CML-CP without CMR After ≥2 y on Imatinib: Preliminary Results from ENESTcmr Trial²

Nilotinib was approved as front-line therapy for patients with chronic myeloid leukemia in chronic phase. These reports confirmed the long-term efficacy and safety of nilotinib versus imatinib. In particular, these studies confirmed that nilotinib therapy results in lower rates of disease progression and treatment discontinuation in comparison to imatinib. These 2 reports demonstrate the utility and choice of nilotinib as firstline therapy for patients with CML-CP.

Interview with Srdan Verstovsek, MD, PhD, January 25, 2012

Results from the ENESTnd Extension Study: Efficacy and Safety of Patients (pts) with Chronic Myeloid Leukemia in Chronic Phase (CML-CP), Treated with Nilotinib 400 Mg Twice Daily (BID) After Suboptimal Response (SoR) or Treatment Failure (TF) to Imatinib 400 Mg Once Daily (QD) or Nilotinib 300 Mg BID

Hochhaus A et al.

Proc ASH 2011; Abstract 114.

Study Design

Patients initially assigned on ENESTnd trial Nilotinib (n = 18) 300 mg BID SoR/TF Nilotinib (n = 49) 400 mg BID

SOR/TF, suboptimal response/treatment failure

TF: no CyR at 6 months; <partial CyR (PCyR) at 12 months; <CCyR at 18 months; loss of confirmed complete hematologic response, PCyR, CCyR, progression to AP/BC or clonal evolution at any time

SoR: <PCyR at 6 months; <CCyR at 12 months; <MMR at 18 months

• Entrance into the extension study was not allowed for intolerance.

Reason for Patient Entry Into ENEST Extension Study (Abstract Only)

Reason	Initially assigned to ENESTnd nilotinib (n = 18)	Initially assigned to ENESTnd imatinib* (n = 31)
TF	17%	68%
SoR	78%	26%
Other [†]	6%	6%

- * Patients (n = 20) escalated to 400 mg/d of imatinib before extension study entry.
- [†] Entry into extension study per investigator assessment without satisfying SOR/TF criteria

Extension Treatment Outcomes (Abstract Only)

Outcomes	Nilotinib (n, %)
Response during extension	
Response prior to entry: $<$ CCyR (n = 6)	1 (17%)
Response prior to entry: <mmr (n="17)</td"><td>5 (29%)</td></mmr>	5 (29%)
Progression to AP/BC	1 (6%)
\leq 1 month after discontinuation	1 (6%)
Outcome achieved during ENEST extension	Imatinib (n, %)
Response during extension Response prior to entry: <ccyr (n="26)<br">Response prior entry: <mmr (n="30)</td"><td>12 (46%) 7 (23%)</td></mmr></ccyr>	12 (46%) 7 (23%)
Progression to AP/BC	13%
During extension study	7%
≤1 month after discontinuation	3%
>12 months after discontinuation	3%

Adverse Events (AEs) (Abstract Only)

Event	Nilotinib (n = 18)	I matinib (n = 31)
Grade 3/4 AEs	28%	52%
Drug-related AEs leading to discontinuation	0%	10%
Deaths*		
During extension treatment or ≤28 d of discontinuation	0%	0%

* Deaths (n = 4) occurred >28 days after treatment discontinuation: 3 were CML related (1 and 2 for nilotinib and imatinib, respectively) and occurred 8-10 months after discontinuation

Author Conclusions

- These data confirm the efficacy of nilotinib at 400 mg BID for patients with CML-CP who had SOR or TF, even after dose escalation of imatinib.
- Although dose escalation of imatinib may overcome OCT-1 transporter activity in patients with correspondingly low imatinib plasma levels, nilotinib is not a substrate for OCT-1.
- Although further evaluation is required, the modest (~16%) increase in systemic exposure to nilotinib from 300 to 400 mg BID may benefit some patients with SoR/TF.
- Dose escalation of nilotinib from 300 to 400 mg BID appears safe with no additional safety signals.
- The extension study is ongoing and additional follow-up results will provide further information.

Investigator Commentary: Results from the ENESTnd Extension Study — Efficacy and Safety of 400 mg BID of Nilotinib in Patients with CML-CP after SOR/TF

Nilotinib is becoming a strong player for front-line therapy instead of imatinib in patients with CML-CP. It is one of the major agents that improves outcomes as second-line treatment when there is no optimal response to imatinib. Based on these data, nilotinib therapy quickly produced better responses than imatinib, particularly molecular responses, and also has an excellent toxicity profile.

Therefore, nilotinib is a viable first choice as front-line therapy because there is good evidence from several studies that a rapid and deep response is crucial for long-term outcomes in patients with CML-CP.

Interview with Srdan Verstovsek, MD, PhD, January 25, 2012

Initial Findings from the PACE Trial: A Pivotal Phase 2 Study of Ponatinib in Patients with CML and Ph+ ALL Resistant or Intolerant to Dasatinib or Nilotinib, or with the T315I Mutation¹

Bosutinib versus Imatinib in Newly Diagnosed Chronic Phase Chronic Myeloid Leukemia — BELA Trial: 24-Month Follow-Up²

¹ Cortes JE et al.

Proc ASH 2011; Abstract 109.

² Cortes JE et al.

Proc ASH 2011; Abstract 455.

Initial Findings from the PACE Trial: A Pivotal Phase 2 Study of Ponatinib in Patients with CML and Ph+ ALL Resistant or Intolerant to Dasatinib or Nilotinib, or with the T315I Mutation

Cortes JE et al.

Proc ASH 2011; Abstract 109.

Background

- Despite progress with tyrosine kinase inhibitors (TKIs) in the treatment of chronic myeloid leukemia (CML), there are no therapeutic options after dasatinib or nilotinib failure or for T315I mutation-positive disease.
- Ponatinib is a potent, oral, pan-BCR-ABL enzyme inhibitor that is active against the native enzyme and all tested resistant mutants, including the T315I mutation.

• <u>Objective</u>:

 Determine the efficacy and safety of ponatinib in patients with refractory CML.

PACE Trial Design



* Patients enrolled at the time of analysis (July 18, 2011). Enrollment is ongoing.

Primary endpoints:

- Major cytogenic response (MCyR) for CP CML
- Major hematologic response (MaHR) for AP CML, BP CML or ALL

Cytogenic Response Rates (Abstract Only)

Patients (n = 159)*	MCyR
CP cohorts $(n = 83)^{\dagger}$	46%
CP R/I (n = 60)	42%
CP T315I (n = 23)	57%
Patients (n = 159)*	Complete CyR (CCyR)
CP cohorts $(n = 83)^{\dagger}$	31%
CP R/I (n = 60)	25%
CP T315I (n = 23)	48%

- * Patients with evaluable disease at time of analysis
- [†] Patients assessed at 3 months (n = 10 at 6 months) or who discontinued treatment
- Median follow-up: 57 days

Hematologic Response Rates (Abstract Only)

Patients (n = 159)*	MaHR
AP, BP/ALL cohorts $(n = 76)^{\dagger}$	46%
AP RI (n = 23)	74%
AP T315I (n = 1)	100%
BP/ALL RI (n = 30)	37%
BP/ALL T315I (n = 22)	27%

* Patients with evaluable disease at time of analysis

[†] Number of patients assessed at \geq 1 month or who discontinued treatment

Median follow-up: 57 days

Drug-Related Adverse Events (AEs) (Abstract Only)

Most common AE (≥10% any grade)	Patients (n = 397)
Thrombocytopenia Grade 3/4	19% 15%
Rash	18%
Dry skin	13%
Myalgia	12%
Abdominal pain Grade 3/4	11% 3%
Headache	11%
Arthralgia	11%
≥1 serious AE (SAE)	17%

- Patients still on therapy: 85%; discontinued due to progressive disease, AEs or death (15%)
- The most common SAEs included 15 cases of pancreatitis (3.7%)

Author Conclusions

- This initial analysis of the PACE trial showed that ponatinib has a favorable safety profile that was similar to that observed in the previous Phase I study but with a lower incidence of pancreatitis.
- After a short follow-up period, these data demonstrated that ponatinib had a substantial antileukemic activity in a patient population with heavily pretreated refractory T315I CML.
- These initial efficacy signals replicated response results initially reported in the Phase I setting.

Investigator Commentary: Initial Findings from the Pivotal Phase II PACE Trial of Ponatinib in Patients with Refractory CML

Ponatinib is different from other TKIs in that it is also effective against T315I mutations. The T315I mutation is well known as one that is resistant to all the other TKIs available. This trial studied the efficacy of ponatinib in patients with the T315I mutation and TKI-resistant or TKI-intolerant disease. In all patient subgroups, ponatinib was efficacious in inducing hematologic and cytogenic responses. In fact, ponatinib therapy yielded molecular responses, particularly in a difficultto-treat subselection of patients with pretreated and refractory CP-CML. Overall, ponatinib is an agent that may be approved in the near future.

Interview with Srdan Verstovsek, MD, PhD, January 25, 2012

Bosutinib versus Imatinib in Newly Diagnosed Chronic Phase Chronic Myeloid Leukemia — BELA Trial: 24-Month Follow-Up

Cortes JE et al.

Proc ASH 2011; Abstract 455.

Background

- Bosutinib (SKI-606) is an orally active, dual competitive inhibitor of the Src and Abl tyrosine kinases.
- The Phase III BELA study compared bosutinib to imatinib in patients with newly diagnosed chronic phase (CP) chronic myeloid leukemia (CML) (*Proc EHA* 2011; Abstract 0485).

Objective:

 Determine the efficacy and safety of bosutinib versus imatinib after a follow-up period of 24 months in patients with CP-CML.

Study Design



Primary endpoint

• Complete cytogenic response (CCyR) at 12 months in the intent-to-treat population

Secondary endpoints

 Major molecular response (MMR) at 12 months; time to CCyR and MMR; duration of CCyR and MMR; time to and incidence of transformation to accelerated/blast phase (AP/BP) CML; event-free survival (EFS) and overall survival (OS)

Cytogenic Response Rates (Abstract Only)

CCyR	Bosutinib (n = 248)	Imatinib (n = 250)
At 3 months	50%	25%
At 6 months	59%	49%
At 9 months	63%	55%
At 12 months	70%	68%
At 18 months	62%	67%
Cumulative rate by 18 months	79%	79%

- Median time to CCyR was 12.7 weeks (bosutinib) and 24.6 weeks (imatinib).
- Median treatment duration was 19.3 months (bosutinib) and 19.5 months (imatinib).

Molecular Response Rates (Abstract Only)

MMR	Bosutinib (n = 248)	Imatinib (n = 250)
At 3 months	7%	3%
At 6 months	28%	11%
At 9 months	35%	19%
At 12 months	41%	27%
At 18 months	46%	38%
Cumulative rate by 18 months	55%	45%

 Median time to MMR was 36.9 weeks (bosutinib) and 72.3 weeks (imatinib).

Other Trial Outcomes (Abstract Only)

Endpoint	Bosutinib (n = 248)	Imatinib (n = 250)
Transformation to AP/BP CML on treatment	2%	5%
EFS rate (18 months)	95%	91%
OS rate (18 months)	99%	95%
On-study deaths	2%	5%
Due to CML progression	2%	4%

- Median on-treatment EFS and OS were not reached for either of the treatment arms.
- Patients still receiving treatment: bosutinib (67%) and imatinib (74%)

Adverse Events (AEs) (Abstract Only)

Event	Bosutinib (n = 248)	Imatinib (n = 250)
Diarrhea	69%	22%
Vomiting	32%	14%
Pyrexia	18%	10%
Abdominal pain	13%	7%
Peripheral edema	4%	11%
Periorbital edema	1%	14%
Muscle cramps	4%	22%
Increased ALT (Grade 3/4)	23%	4%

• The primary reason for bosutinib discontinuation was toxicity (23%).

• The primary reason for imatinib discontinuation was disease progression (13%).

Author Conclusions

- The primary endpoint of this study was not met because there was no difference in CCyR at 12 months between treatments, probably as a result of early discontinuation due to bosutinib-related AEs.
- However, bosutinib therapy resulted in a higher MMR rate at 12 months, faster times to MMR and CCyR, fewer events of transformation to AP/BP CML and fewer overall and CML-related deaths compared to imatinib.
- In addition, 18-month estimates of EFS and OS favor bosutinib over imatinib therapy.
- Both bosutinib and imatinib were associated with acceptable but distinct toxicity profiles.
- These data suggest that bosutinib is superior to imatinib and may offer a new therapeutic option in patients with newly diagnosed CP-CML.

Investigator Commentary: Bosutinib versus Imatinib in Newly Diagnosed CP-CML — BELA Trial: 24-Month Follow-Up

Bosutinib is a TKI that was found to be active in more advanced cases of chronic myeloid leukemia. This study was an attempt to test its efficacy and toxicity in the front-line setting in comparison to imatinib. Unfortunately, the study demonstrated negative primary endpoint results (CCyR at 12 months). This was mainly because about a quarter of the patients discontinued bosutinib therapy as a result of toxicity that was primarily related to gastrointestinal events.

However, the longer-term follow-up studies demonstrated that bosutinib treatment achieved better molecular and cytogenic responses, also reducing the progression to AP/BP CML in comparison to imatinib therapy. Although bosutinib is a good agent with demonstrated activity in CP-CML, I am unsure of how it will be further developed because it has yet to gain approval.

Interview with Srdan Verstovsek, MD, PhD, January 25, 2012

Early Molecular and **Cytogenic Response Is Predictive for Long-Term Progression-Free and Overall** Survival in Chronic Myeloid Leukemia (CML)

Hanfstein B et al.

Leukemia 2012; [Epub ahead of print].

Hanfstein B et al. Proc ASH 2011; Abstract 783.

Background

- The advent of second-generation tyrosine kinase inhibitors (TKIs) in the front-line treatment setting of chronic myeloid leukemia (CML) has prompted a closer evaluation of the response to imatinib (*N Engl J Med* 2010; 362: 2251, 2260).
- Early assessment of response markers might identify slow responders harboring a BCR-ABL positive clone with an inferior susceptibility to TKIs.
- Slow responders could benefit from an early dose escalation or a change of treatment to a second-generation TKI, thus avoiding the risk of disease progression.
- <u>Current study objective</u>: Evaluate the impact of molecular and cytogenetic response levels after 3 months of an imatinib-based treatment on the course of CML.

CML Study IV Methods

- Patients with CML treated with imatinib (n = 1,303).
- Patients were randomly assigned to receive:
 - Imatinib 400 mg/d
 - Imatinib 400 mg/d + interferon alpha (IFN)
 - Imatinib 400 mg/d + low-dose cytarabine (arm closed 2005)
 - Imatinib 400 mg/d after IFN failure (arm closed 2005)
 - Imatinib 800 mg/d
- Molecular and cytogenetic responses analyzed at 3 months and 6 months.
- BCR-ABL and total ABL transcript levels were measured by quantitative RT-PCR, standardized according to international scale (BCR-ABL^{IS}).
- Cytogenetic response was determined by conventional metaphase analyses with standard G-banding or fluorescence R-banding techniques.
- Endpoints include progression-free survival (PFS) and overall survival (OS).

PFS by Molecular Response

BCR-ABL ^{IS} at 3 months	Five-year PFS	p-va	lue
≤1% (n = 218)	96%	NC	
>1%-10% (n = 281)	92%	NS	0.037
>10% (n = 189)	87%	—	
BCR-ABL ^{IS} at 6 months	Five-year PFS	<i>p</i> -value	
≤1% (n = 498)	96%	0.00/	_
>1%-10% (n = 194)	89%	0.006	NC
>10% (n = 91)	86%		IND

NS = not significant

- PFS was defined as the absence of accelerated phase, blast crisis and death.
- Probability of PFS was calculated from the Kaplan-Meier plot and compared by log-rank statistics.

PFS by Cytogenetic Response

Ph+ at 3 months	Five-year PFS	<i>p</i> -value	
≤35% (n = 336)	94%	0.016	
>35% (n = 122)	87%		
Ph+ at 6 months	Five-year PFS	<i>p</i> -value	
Ph+ at 6 months 0% (n = 319)	Five-year PFS 97%	<i>p</i> -value	

 Median proportion of Philadelphia chromosome-positive metaphases (Ph+) = 8%

OS by Molecular Response

BCR-ABL ^{IS} at 3 months	Five-year OS	<i>p</i> -value	
≤1% (n = 218)	97%	NS —	
>1%-10% (n = 283)	94%		0.012
>10% (n = 191)	87%		
BCR-ABL ^{IS} at 6 months	Five-year OS	<i>p</i> -value	
≤1% (n = 498)	97%	0.000	_
>1%-10% (n = 196)	90%	0.002	NS
>10% (n = 95)	88%		

- OS was defined as the absence of death from any cause.
- Probability of OS was calculated from the Kaplan-Meier plot and compared by log-rank statistics.

OS by Cytogenetic Response

Ph+ at 3 months	Five-year OS	<i>p</i> -value	
≤35% (n = 336)	95%	0.026	
>35% (n = 124)	87%	0.036	
Phi at 6 months	Five year OS	n voluo	
	rive-year 05	<i>p</i> -value	
0% (n = 320)	97%	<i>p</i> -value	

Author Conclusions

- The levels of molecular or cytogenetic response at 3 months of imatinib treatment allow for a risk stratification of patient outcome in terms of PFS and OS.
- Patients (28%) who failed to achieve 10% BCR-ABL^{IS} level at 3 months had a 5-year OS of only 87%.
- Survival rates were significantly better for patients with >1% to 10% and ≤1% BCR-ABL^{IS}. However, there was no significant difference between the >1% to 10% and ≤1% BCR-ABL^{IS} groups.
- Therefore, missing the 10% BCR-ABL^{IS} landmark at 3 months predicts inferior survival.
- A similar risk group is defined by failure to achieve the 35% Ph+ landmark at 3 months:
 - 5-year OS with Ph+ >35% at 3 months is 87%.
- Treatment optimization is suggested for patients missing these landmarks.

Investigator Commentary: Molecular and Cytogenetic Response After 3 Months of Imatinib Treatment Predicts Survival in CML

This study reported a significant difference in outcome for patients with CML depending on molecular and cytogenetic responses after 3 months of imatinib treatment. After 3 months of therapy, it is possible to predict the risk of disease progression and death.

Results from this study raised the question as to whether a patient's treatment should be changed early on, depending on the response after 3 months of imatinib therapy. Clinical studies have already been initiated based on these results, in which early use of a different TKI has been attempted to improve outcomes in patients with CML in chronic phase. Second-generation TKIs like dasatinib and nilotinib have received FDA approval in the front-line setting for CML. Although this study suggests the administration of a different second-generation TKI as first-line therapy for patients who will not fare well on imatinib, the question of how such patients will be predefined remains unanswered.

Overall, monitoring of molecular and cytogenetic responses to therapy early on may help community oncologists in treatment decision-making.

Interview with Srdan Verstovsek, MD, PhD, January 25, 2012

Discontinuation of Imatinib in Patients with Chronic Myeloid Leukemia Who Have Maintained Complete Molecular Response: Updated Results of the STIM¹

Discontinuation of Dasatinib or Nilotinib in Chronic Myeloid Leukemia (CML) Patients (pts) with Stable Undetectable Bcr-Abl Transcripts: Results from the French CML Group (FILMC)²

¹ Mahon FX et al.

Proc ASH 2011; Abstract 603.

² Rea D et al.

Proc ASH 2011; Abstract 604.

Discontinuation of Imatinib in Patients with Chronic Myeloid Leukemia Who Have Maintained Complete Molecular Response: Updated Results of the STIM

Mahon FX et al.

Proc ASH 2011; Abstract 603.

Background

- Imatinib (IM) treatment significantly improves survival in patients with chronic myeloid leukemia (CML) (*J Clin Oncol* 2011;29:2514).
- A 12-month follow-up from the STIM study showed that IM can be safely discontinued in patients with a sustained complete molecular response (CMR) of ≥2 years duration (*Lancet Oncol* 2010; 11: 1029).
- Little is known about whether treatment can safely be discontinued in the long term.
- <u>Objective</u>: Assess the risk of molecular relapse after IM discontinuation after a median follow-up of 34 months.

STIM Study Methods

- Eligibility (N = 100):
 - Patients with CML who had discontinued IM (>2 years duration)
 - Sustained CMR for at least 2 years
 - Patients on prior immunomodulatory Rx (other than IFNa), treatment for other malignancies or allogeneic transplantation excluded
- Rate of relapse assessed by quantitative RT-PCR analysis (positive BCR-ABL transcripts, BCR-ABL/ABL ≥0.001).
- Analysis: Every month first year, every 2 months second year, every 3 months thereafter.

Response Following IM Discontinuation and Rechallenge

- Molecular relapse: 61 patients
 - 58 relapses during first 7 months
 - 3 relapses at 19, 20 and 22 months
- Overall probability of maintenance of CMR at 24 and 36 months: 39%
- Patients in molecular relapse were sensitive to challenge with IM
 - 51 patients returned to CMR
 - 5 patients returned to CMR but with BCR-ABL transcript fluctuation
 - 5 patients did not return to CMR

Multivariate Analysis of Relapse

Multivariate analysis Cox model over all patients and all relapses			
Factor	Hazard ratio	<i>p</i> -value	
Sokal score	2.555	0.008	
Duration of IM therapy (>60 mo vs ≤60 mo)	0.582	0.047	

In multivariate analysis of relapse at 8 months, using the Final model, a higher Sokal score (p = 0.005) and shorter IM duration (p = 0.028) were independent prognostic factors of relapse.

Kaplan-Meier Estimates of CMR After IM Discontinuation According to Combined Factors



With permission from Mahon FX et al. *Proc ASH* 2011; Abstract 603.

Author Conclusions

- Discontinuation of IM is recommended only in a clinical trial with close molecular monitoring.
- The most important factors that can predict recurrence after discontinuation are:
 - 1. The inherent nature of the disease (illustrated by the Sokal score)
 - 2. The duration of therapy

Discontinuation of Dasatinib or Nilotinib in Chronic Myeloid Leukemia (CML) Patients (pts) with Stable Undetectable Bcr-Abl Transcripts: Results from the French CML Group (FILMC)

Background

- Dasatinib and nilotinib, 2 highly potent secondgeneration TKIs (2G-TKI), have been approved in the front-line setting in chronic phase (CP)-CML. However, their curative potential remains uncertain.
- Most patients with CML relapse following treatment discontinuation and require lifelong TKI treatment.
- Results from the STIM trial suggest imatinib (IM) treatment may be discontinued in patients with stable undetectable molecular residual disease (UMRD) (*Lancet Oncol* 2010; 11: 1029).
- <u>Objective</u>: Evaluate the risk of losing major molecular responses (MMR) following discontinuation of 2G-TKI in patients with stable UMRD.

Study Design

- Eligibility (N = 25):
 - Patients with CP-CML and UMRD proposed discontinuation of 2G-TKI if:
 - 1. No prior progression to accelerated phase or blast crisis
 - 2. UMRD was sustained on therapy
- Sixteen patients evaluated with a median follow-up of 15 months.
- BCR-ABL transcripts measured by quantitative RT-PCR every month during first 6 months and every 2-3 months thereafter.
- Dasatinib or nilotinib reintroduced upon loss of MMR.

CP = chronic phase; UMRD was defined by undetectable BCR-ABL using quantitative RT-PCR; MMR = BCR-ABL/ABL internationally standardized (IS) ratio $\leq 0.1\%$ by 6 months

Response at the Start of 2G-TKI Therapy (Abstract Only)

Response (n = 16)	n
Chronic phase	1
Complete hematologic response	1
Partial cytogenetic response	2
Complete cytogenetic response, no MMR	3
MMR, detectable BCR-ABL transcripts	4
UMRD	5

- Dasatinib (n = 9) or nilotinib (n = 7) administered due to IM intolerance (n = 13) or resistance to IM (n = 1) or as a front-line drug (n = 1)
- Median time on 2G-TKI = 32 months
- Median duration of sustained UMRD = 27 months

Response Following Discontinuation (Abstract Only)

Response (n = 16)	n/mo
MMR lost (n)*	5
Median time off treatment (mo)	4
Stable UMRD or low levels of BCR-ABL (n)	11
Median time off treatment (mo)	13

- * Treatment with 2G-TKI restarted in 4/5 and in another patient without MMR loss but with MRD. MMR and UMRD regained following reintroduction of treatment.
- Gender, age, Sokal risk group, type of 2G-TKI and duration of treatment and of UMRD prior to discontinuation did not differ markedly between patients who lost MMR and those with treatment-free persistent MMR.

Author Conclusions

- 2G-TKI may be safely discontinued in patients with CML and long-lasting UMRD under strict molecular monitoring conditions.
- The emergence of a low level of detectable residual disease below the MMR threshold after withdrawal of 2G-TKI may not automatically herald CML relapse and may not preclude the possibility to remain treatment-free.
- A longer follow-up is required to ascertain whether CML will recur. This study provides a basis for subsequent large-scale prospective trials.

Investigator Commentary: Discontinuation of TKI in Patients with CML

The 61 patients who experienced relapse in the STIM trial responded to rechallenge with IM. Of the patients, 39% maintained their CMR for several years, and this is rather impressive. Multivariate analysis showed that a high risk score and a short duration of IM therapy were 2 independent prognostic factors for prediction of molecular relapse after IM cessation. This suggests that these patients can potentially be taken off therapy and may not experience relapse. The disease may still be present at low levels that cannot be detected.

The patients in the Rea study received dasatinib or nilotinib before discontinuation and fared slightly worse than those on the STIM study. Following treatment discontinuation, some of these patients maintained CMR after a long follow-up. So in these patients you can achieve a functional cure. Whether we have the appropriate tools to assess who will or will not experience relapse after stopping therapy is the question. I don't believe that therapy should be routinely discontinued in practice, but this topic warrants further study.

Interview with Srdan Verstovsek, MD, PhD, January 25, 2012