

Oncology Today with Dr Neil Love: Potential Role of PROTAC ER Degraders in Therapy for HR-Positive Metastatic Breast Cancer

THE CORRECT ANSWER IS INDICATED WITH YELLOW HIGHLIGHTING.

- In the Phase III EMERALD trial of elacestrant versus standard-of-care therapy for HR-positive, HER2-negative metastatic breast cancer (mBC), which of the following efficacy findings was reported regarding progression-free survival?**
 - A significant improvement in the ESR1-mutation population only
 - A significant improvement in the intention-to-treat population only
 - Both a and b
 - Neither a nor b
- Which of the following descriptions best reflects proteolysis-targeting chimeras?**
 - Tyrosine kinase inhibitor hybrids that covalently bind and degrade the target protein
 - Heterobifunctional molecules that degrade the target protein via the ubiquitin proteasome system
 - Liposomal monoclonal antibodies that internalize and mediate proteolysis within the tumor cell cytoplasm
- What is the biological target of vepdegestrant (ARV-471)?**
 - Androgen receptor
 - Estrogen receptor (ER)
 - Bruton tyrosine kinase
- The Phase III VERITAC-2 trial will evaluate which of the following treatment interventions for ER-positive, HER2-negative advanced breast cancer?**
 - Vepdegestrant (ARV-471) versus a CDK4/6 inhibitor
 - Vepdegestrant (ARV-471) versus fulvestrant
 - Vepdegestrant (ARV-471) versus tamoxifen
- What was the approximate overall response rate in the overall population of a Phase I trial of the novel ER degrader AC699 for ER-positive, HER2-negative mBC?**
 - 0%
 - 21%
 - 45%
 - 70%