Colorectal Cancer Update Issue 1, 2018 (Video Program)

CME Information

TARGET AUDIENCE

This activity is intended for medical oncologists, hematologists-oncologists, hematology-oncology fellows and other healthcare providers involved in the treatment of gastrointestinal cancers.

OVERVIEW OF ACTIVITY

Approximately 135,000 people were diagnosed with colon or rectal cancer in the United States in 2017 alone, with nearly 50,000 of these individuals succumbing to their disease. Published results from ongoing trials continually lead to the emergence of new therapeutic targets and regimens, thereby altering existing management algorithms. In order to offer optimal patient care, including the option of clinical trial participation, the practicing medical oncologist must be well informed of these advances. To bridge the gap between research and patient care, *Colorectal Cancer Update* uses one-on-one discussion with leading gastrointestinal oncology investigators. By providing access to the latest scientific developments and the perspectives of experts in the field, this CME activity assists medical oncologists with the formulation of up-to-date management strategies.

LEARNING OBJECTIVES

- Formulate an individualized approach to the selection of adjuvant chemotherapy regimens and the duration of treatment for patients with standard- and high-risk colon cancer.
- Consider patient and disease characteristics in selecting therapy for patients with metastatic colorectal cancer (mCRC), including primary tumor location and presence of potentially targetable genetic abnormalities (eg, BRAF, HER2).
- Appraise the recent approvals of pembrolizumab and nivolumab for patients with microsatellite instability-high or mismatch repair-deficient tumors, and integrate these agents into current mCRC treatment algorithms.
- Devise a rational approach to the incorporation of regorafenib and TAS-102 into the treatment algorithm for mCRC that includes consideration of each agent's unique side-effect profile.
- Counsel patients regarding the incidence and manifestation of side effects associated with commonly used systemic agents and regimens, and develop a plan to optimally manage these toxicities.

 Recall available and emerging data with other investigational agents currently being tested in clinical trials for CRC, and refer eligible patients for trial participation or expanded access programs.

ACCREDITATION STATEMENT

Research To Practice is accredited by the Accreditation Council for Continuing Medical Education to provide continuing medical education for physicians.

CREDIT DESIGNATION STATEMENT

Research To Practice designates this enduring material for a maximum of 4.5 *AMA PRA Category 1 Credits*TM. Physicians should claim only the credit commensurate with the extent of their participation in the activity.

AMERICAN BOARD OF INTERNAL MEDICINE (ABIM) — MAINTENANCE OF CERTIFICATION (MOC)

Successful completion of this CME activity, which includes participation in the evaluation component, enables the participant to earn up to 4.5 Medical Knowledge MOC points in the American Board of Internal Medicine's (ABIM) Maintenance of Certification (MOC) program. Participants will earn MOC points equivalent to the amount of CME credits claimed for the activity. It is the CME activity provider's responsibility to submit participant completion information to ACCME for the purpose of granting ABIM MOC credit.

Please note, this program has been specifically designed for the following ABIM specialty: **medical oncology**.

Personal information and data sharing: Research To Practice aggregates deidentified user data for program-use analysis, program development, activity planning and site improvement. We may provide aggregate and deidentified data to third parties, including commercial supporters. We do not share or sell personally identifiable information to any unaffiliated third parties or commercial supporters. Please see our privacy policy at **ResearchToPractice.com/Privacy-Policy** for more information.

HOW TO USE THIS CME ACTIVITY

This CME activity consists of a video component. To receive credit, the participant should review the CME information, watch the video, complete the Post-test with a score of 80% or better and fill out the Educational Assessment and Credit Form located at **ResearchToPractice.com/CCU118/Video/CME**.

The corresponding audio program is available as an alternative at **ResearchToPractice.com/CCU118**.

CONTENT VALIDATION AND DISCLOSURES

Research To Practice (RTP) is committed to providing its participants with high-quality, unbiased and state-of-theart education. We assess conflicts of interest with faculty, planners and managers of CME activities. Conflicts of interest are identified and resolved through a conflict of interest resolution process. In addition, all activity content is reviewed by both a member of the RTP scientific staff and an external, independent physician reviewer for fair balance, scientific objectivity of studies referenced and patient care recommendations.

FACULTY — The following faculty (and their spouses/partners) reported relevant conflicts of interest, which have been resolved through a conflict of interest resolution process:

Alan P Venook, MD

Professor of Clinical Medicine University of California, San Francisco San Francisco, California

Advisory Committee: Bayer HealthCare Pharmaceuticals, Genentech BioOncology; Contracted Research: Bristol-Myers Squibb Company, Genentech BioOncology, Merck, Taiho Oncology Inc.

Scott Kopetz, MD, PhD

Associate Professor, Department of Gastrointestinal Medical Oncology Division of Cancer Medicine The University of Texas MD Anderson Cancer Center Houston, Texas

Consulting Agreements: Amgen Inc, Bayer HealthCare Pharmaceuticals, Genentech BioOncology.

Zev Wainberg, MD, MSc

Associate Professor, Department of Medicine Director, Early Phase Clinical Research Support Co-Director, UCLA GI Oncology Program Jonsson Comprehensive Cancer Center Los Angeles, California

Advisory Committee: Genentech BioOncology, Lilly, Merck, Novartis; Contracted Research: Bristol-Myers Squibb Company, Celgene Corporation, Genentech BioOncology, Lilly, Merck, Novartis.

Howard S Hochster, MD

Associate Director (Clinical Research) Yale Cancer Center Professor of Medicine Yale School of Medicine New Haven, Connecticut

Consulting Agreements: Bayer HealthCare Pharmaceuticals, Bristol-Myers Squibb Company, Genomic Health Inc.

EDITOR — **Dr Love** is president and CEO of Research To Practice, which receives funds in the form of educational grants to develop CME activities from the following commercial interests: AbbVie Inc, Acerta Pharma, Adaptive Biotechnologies, Agendia Inc, Agios Pharmaceuticals Inc, Amgen Inc, Ariad Pharmaceuticals Inc, Array BioPharma Inc, Astellas Pharma Global Development Inc, AstraZeneca Pharmaceuticals LP, Baxalta Inc, Bayer HealthCare Pharmaceuticals, Biodesix Inc, bioTheranostics Inc, Boehringer Ingelheim Pharmaceuticals Inc, Boston Biomedical Pharma Inc, Bristol-Myers Squibb Company, Celgene Corporation, Clovis Oncology, CTI BioPharma Corp, Dendreon Pharmaceuticals Inc, Eisai Inc, Exelixis Inc, Foundation Medicine, Genentech BioOncology, Genomic Health Inc, Gilead Sciences Inc, Halozyme Inc, ImmunoGen Inc, Incyte Corporation, Infinity Pharmaceuticals Inc, Ipsen Biopharmaceuticals Inc, Janssen Biotech Inc, administered by Janssen Scientific Affairs LLC, Jazz Pharmaceuticals Inc, Kite Pharma Inc, Lexicon Pharmaceuticals Inc, Lilly, Medivation Inc, a Pfizer Company, Merck, Merrimack Pharmaceuticals Inc, Myriad Genetic Laboratories Inc, NanoString Technologies, Natera Inc, Novartis, Novocure, Onyx Pharmaceuticals, an Amgen subsidiary, Pfizer Inc, Pharmacyclics LLC, an AbbVie Company, Prometheus Laboratories Inc, Puma Biotechnology Inc, Regeneron Pharmaceuticals Inc, Sanofi Genzyme, Seattle Genetics, Sigma-Tau Pharmaceuticals Inc, Sirtex Medical Ltd, Spectrum Pharmaceuticals Inc, Taiho Oncology Inc, Takeda Oncology, Tesaro Inc, Teva Oncology and Tokai Pharmaceuticals Inc.

RESEARCH TO PRACTICE STAFF AND EXTERNAL

REVIEWERS — The scientific staff and reviewers for Research To Practice have no relevant conflicts of interest to disclose.

This educational activity contains discussion of published and/ or investigational uses of agents that are not indicated by the Food and Drug Administration. Research To Practice does not recommend the use of any agent outside of the labeled indications. Please refer to the official prescribing information for each product for discussion of approved indications, contraindications and warnings. The opinions expressed are those of the presenters and are not to be construed as those of the publisher or grantors.

This activity is supported by educational grants from Bayer HealthCare Pharmaceuticals, Genentech BioOncology, Lilly, Merck and Taiho Oncology Inc.

Hardware/Software Requirements:

A high-speed Internet connection A monitor set to 1280 x 1024 pixels or more Internet Explorer 11 or later, Firefox 56 or later, Chrome 61 or later, Safari 11 or later, Opera 48 or later Adobe Flash Player 27 plug-in or later Adobe Acrobat Reader (Optional) Sound card and speakers for audio

Last review date: February 2018

Expiration date: February 2019

Select Publications

A phase III study of pembrolizumab (MK-3475) vs chemotherapy in microsatellite instability-high (MSI-H) or mismatch repair deficient (dMMR) stage IV colorectal carcinoma (KEYNOTE-177). NCT02563002

Andre T et al. Oxaliplatin-based chemotherapy for patients with stage III colon cancer: Disease free survival results of the three versus six months adjuvant IDEA France Trial. *Proc ASCO* 2017; Abstract 3500.

André T et al. Analysis of tumor PD-L1 expression and biomarkers in relation to clinical activity in patients (pts) with deficient DNA mismatch repair (dMMR)/high microsatellite instability (MSI-H) metastatic colorectal cancer (mCRC) treated with nivolumab (NIVO) + ipilimumab (IPI): CheckMate 142. *Proc ESMO* 2017;Abstract 484PD.

Arnold D et al. Prognostic and predictive value of primary tumour side in patients with RAS wild-type metastatic colorectal cancer treated with chemotherapy and EGFR directed antibodies in six randomised trials. *Ann Oncol* 2017;28(8):1713-29.

Cohen R et al. **BRAF-mutated colorectal cancer: What is the optimal strategy for treatment?** *Curr Treat Options Oncol* 2017;18(2):9.

Colorectal cancer metastatic dMMR immuno-therapy (COMMIT) study: A randomized phase III study of mFOLFOX6/ bevacizumab combination chemotherapy with or without atezolizumab or atezolizumab monotherapy in the first-line treatment of patients with deficient DNA mismatch repair (dMMR) metastatic colorectal cancer. NCT02997228

Fuchs MA et al. **Predicted vitamin D status and colon cancer recurrence and mortality in CALGB 89803 (Alliance).** *Ann Oncol* 2017;28(6):1359-67.

Grothey A et al; CORRECT Study Group. **Regorafenib monotherapy for previously treated metastatic colorectal cancer (CORRECT): An international, multicentre, randomised, placebo-controlled, phase 3 trial.** *Lancet* 2013;381(9863):303-12.

Huijberts S et al. BEACON CRC: Safety lead-in (SLI) for the combination of binimetinib (BINI), encorafenib (ENCO), and cetuximab (CTX) in patients (pts) with BRAF-V600E metastatic colorectal cancer (mCRC). *Proc ESMO* 2017;Abstract 517P.

Hurwitz H et al. Updated efficacy, safety, and biomarker analyses of STEAM, a randomized, open-label, phase II trial of sequential (s) and concurrent (c) FOLFOXIRI-bevacizumab (BV) vs FOLFOX-BV for first-line (1L) treatment (tx) of patients with metastatic colorectal cancer (mCRC). Gastrointestinal Cancers Symposium 2017;Abstract 657.

Innocenti F et al. Somatic DNA mutations, MSI status, mutational load (ML): Association with overall survival (OS) in patients (pts) with metastatic colorectal cancer (mCRC) of CALGB/SWOG 80405 (Alliance). *Proc ASCO* 2017;Abstract 3504.

Iveson T et al. Final DFS results of the SCOT study: An international phase III randomised (1:1) non-inferiority trial comparing 3 versus 6 months of oxaliplatin based adjuvant chemotherapy for colorectal cancer. *Proc ASCO* 2017; Abstract 3502.

Kang Y et al. Gut microbiota and colorectal cancer: Insights into pathogenesis for novel therapeutic strategies. *Z Gastroenterol* 2017;55(9):872-80.

Kim S et al. Tumor sidedness and intrinsic subtypes in patients with stage II/III colon cancer: Analysis of NSABP C-07 (NRG Oncology). *Proc ASCO* 2017; Abstract 3514.

Kopetz S et al. Randomized trial of irinotecan and cetuximab with or without vemurafenib in BRAF-mutant metastatic colorectal cancer (SWOG S1406). *Proc ASCO* 2017; Abstract 3505.

Le DT et al. PD-1 blockade in tumors with mismatch-repair deficiency. N Engl J Med 2015;372(26):2509-20.

Lenz HJ et al. Impact of consensus molecular subtyping (CMS) on overall survival (OS) and progression free survival (PFS) in patients (pts) with metastatic colorectal cancer (mCRC): Analysis of CALGB/SWOG 80405 (Alliance). *Proc ASCO* 2017; Abstract 3511.

Mehta RS et al. Association of dietary patterns with risk of colorectal cancer subtypes classified by Fusobacterium nucleatum in tumor tissue. *JAMA Oncol* 2017;3(7):921-7.

Mima K et al. The role of intestinal bacteria in the development and progression of gastrointestinal tract neoplasms. *Surg Oncol* 2017;26(4):368-76.

Ng K et al. SUNSHINE: Randomized double-blind phase II trial of vitamin D supplementation in patients with previously untreated metastatic colorectal cancer. *Proc ASCO* 2017; Abstract 3506.

Ohtsu A et al. Onset of neutropenia as an indicator of treatment response in the phase III RECOURSE trial of TAS-102 vs placebo in patients with metastatic colorectal cancer. *Proc ASCO* 2016; Abstract 3556.

Overman MJ et al. Nivolumab in patients with metastatic DNA mismatch repair-deficient or microsatellite instability-high colorectal cancer (CheckMate 142): An open-label, multicentre, phase 2 study. *Lancet Oncol* 2017;18(9):1182-91.

Select Publications

Parikh A et al. Prolonged response to HER2-directed therapy in a patient with HER2-amplified, rapidly progressive metastatic colorectal cancer. *J Natl Compr Canc Netw* 2017;15(1):3-8.

Parseghian C et al. Evaluating for pseudoprogression in colorectal and pancreatic tumors treated with immunotherapy. *Proc ESMO* 2017; Abstract PD-023.

Raskov H et al. Linking gut microbiota to colorectal cancer. J Cancer 2017;8(17):3378-95.

Segelov E et al. Survival by sidedness of metastatic colorectal cancer (mCRC) treated with epidermal growth factor receptor antibodies (EGFR-Ab) in the refractory setting: A population-based study of 1509 patients. *Proc ESMO* 2017; Abstract 587P.

Shi Q et al. Prospective pooled analysis of six phase III trials investigating duration of adjuvant (adjuv) oxaliplatin-based therapy (3 vs 6 months) for patients (pts) with stage III colon cancer (CC): The IDEA (International Duration Evaluation of Adjuvant chemotherapy) collaboration. *Proc ASCO* 2017;Abstract LBA1.

Sobrero AF et al. FOLFOX4/XELOX in stage II–III colon cancer: Efficacy results of the Italian three or six colon adjuvant (TOSCA) trial. *Proc ASCO* 2017; Abstract 3501.

Sundar R et al. Targeting BRAF-mutant colorectal cancer: Progress in combination strategies. *Cancer Discov* 2017;7(6):558-60.

Taieb J et al. Association of prognostic value of primary tumor location in stage III colon cancer with RAS and BRAF mutational status. *Proc ASCO* 2017; Abstract 3515.

Van Blarigan E et al. American Cancer Society (ACS) Nutrition and Physical Activity Guidelines after colon cancer diagnosis and disease-free (DFS), recurrence-free (RFS), and overall survival (OS) in CALGB 89803 (Alliance). *Proc ASCO* 2017;Abstract 10006.

Venook AP et al. Primary tumor location as an independent prognostic marker from molecular features for overall survival in patients with metastatic colorectal cancer: Analysis of CALGB/SWOG 80405 (Alliance). *Proc ASCO* 2017; Abstract 3503.