

# Challenging Cases in Multiple Myeloma

## Oncologist and Nurse Investigators Consult on Actual Patients from the Practices of the Invited Faculty

*The sixth of 6 integrated symposia in an oncology curriculum*

### CNE INFORMATION

#### TARGET AUDIENCE

This activity has been designed to meet the educational needs of oncology nurses, nurse practitioners and clinical nurse specialists involved in the treatment of multiple myeloma (MM).

#### OVERVIEW OF ACTIVITY

MM is a plasma cell neoplasm that accounts for approximately 10% of all hematologic cancers and carries with it the worst death/new cases ratio (3:4). Although selection of an appropriate induction regimen remains a key element of the initial management of symptomatic MM, supportive care is also a critical consideration at the time of diagnosis for all patients. The disease course for advanced myeloma is uniformly aggressive. However, the introduction of new agents with substantial activity has improved outcomes and allowed patients to experience longer periods of remission. The current challenge facing the oncology community is identification of those patients who may enjoy the greatest benefit from a specific regimen while incurring the least toxicity. As such, oncologists and nurses must be apprised of the unique risks and benefits accompanying each evidence-based treatment strategy and of the acceptable monitoring and supportive management techniques that enable early recognition of safety concerns and effective interventions to address side effects.

These video proceedings from the last part of a 6-part integrated CNE curriculum originally held at the 2013 ONS Annual Congress feature discussions with leading MM investigators and their nursing counterparts regarding actual patient cases and recent clinical research findings affecting the optimal therapeutic and supportive care for each patient scenario. By providing information on the latest research developments in the context of expert perspectives, this CNE activity will assist oncology nurses, nurse practitioners and clinical nurse specialists with the formulation of state-of-the-art clinical management strategies to facilitate optimal care of patients with MM.

#### LEARNING OBJECTIVES

- Compare and contrast the benefits and risks of evidence-based induction regimens employing novel biologic agents.
- Recognize the side effects commonly attributable to available proteasome inhibitors and immunomodulatory drugs, and develop strategies to avert or mitigate these toxicities.
- Counsel patients with MM about the risks and benefits of maintenance therapy in the post-transplant and nontransplant settings.
- Appraise the role of patient- and disease-related factors in treatment decision-making.
- Identify opportunities to enhance the collaborative role of oncology nurses in the comprehensive biopsychosocial care of patients with MM to improve clinical and quality-of-life outcomes.
- Recall ongoing trials of investigational approaches and treatment strategies in MM, and consent and refer patients for study participation.

#### ACCREDITATION STATEMENT

Research To Practice is accredited as a provider of continuing nursing education by the American Nurses Credentialing Center's Commission on Accreditation.

#### CREDIT DESIGNATION STATEMENT

This educational activity for 1.5 contact hours is provided by Research To Practice during the period of July 2013 through July 2014.

#### HOW TO USE THIS CNE ACTIVITY

This CNE activity consists of a video component. To receive credit, the participant should watch the video, complete the Post-test with a score of 70% or better and fill out the Educational Assessment and Credit Form located at [ResearchToPractice.com/ONSMM2013/Video/CNE](http://ResearchToPractice.com/ONSMM2013/Video/CNE).

## CONTENT VALIDATION AND DISCLOSURES

Research To Practice (RTP) is committed to providing its participants with high-quality, unbiased and state-of-the-art education. We assess potential conflicts of interest with faculty, planners and managers of CNE activities. Real or apparent conflicts of interest are identified and resolved through a conflict of interest resolution process. In addition, all activity content is reviewed by both a member of the RTP scientific staff and an external, independent physician reviewer for fair balance, scientific objectivity of studies referenced and patient care recommendations.

**FACULTY** — The following faculty (and their spouses/partners) reported real or apparent conflicts of interest, which have been resolved through a conflict of interest resolution process:

**Elizabeth Bilotti, MSN, APRN, BC**

Nurse Practitioner  
Multiple Myeloma Division  
John Theurer Cancer Center at Hackensack  
University Medical Center  
Hackensack, New Jersey

**Consulting Agreements and Speakers Bureau:** Celgene Corporation, Millennium: The Takeda Oncology Company, Onyx Pharmaceuticals Inc.

**Sagar Lonial, MD**

Professor  
Vice Chair of Clinical Affairs  
Director of Translational Research  
B-Cell Malignancy Program  
Department of Hematology and Medical Oncology  
Winship Cancer Institute  
Emory University School of Medicine  
Atlanta, Georgia

**Advisory Committee and Consulting Agreements:** Bristol-Myers Squibb Company, Celgene Corporation, Lilly USA LLC, Millennium: The Takeda Oncology Company, Novartis Pharmaceuticals Corporation, Onyx Pharmaceuticals Inc, Sanofi.

**Tiffany Richards, MS, ANP-BC, AOCNP**

Nurse Practitioner  
Department of Lymphoma/Myeloma  
The University of Texas MD Anderson Cancer Center  
Houston, Texas

**Consulting Agreements:** Millennium: The Takeda Oncology Company, Onyx Pharmaceuticals Inc.

**A Keith Stewart, MBChB**

Dean for Research, Mayo Clinic in Arizona  
Consultant, Division of Hematology/Oncology  
Vasek and Anna Maria Polak  
Professorship in Cancer Research  
Scottsdale, Arizona

**Advisory Committee:** Amgen Inc, Celgene Corporation;

**Consulting Agreements:** Celgene Corporation, Millennium: The Takeda Oncology Company; **Contracted Research:** Millennium: The Takeda Oncology Company, Onyx Pharmaceuticals Inc.

**MODERATOR** — Dr Love is president and CEO of Research To Practice, which receives funds in the form of educational grants to develop CME/CNE activities from the following commercial interests: AbbVie Inc, Algeta US, Allos Therapeutics, Amgen Inc, ArQule Inc, Astellas, Aveo Pharmaceuticals, Bayer HealthCare Pharmaceuticals, Biodesix Inc, Biogen Idec, Boehringer Ingelheim Pharmaceuticals Inc, Bristol-Myers Squibb Company, Celgene Corporation, Daiichi Sankyo Inc, Dendreon Corporation, Eisai Inc, EMD Serono Inc, Foundation Medicine Inc, Genentech BioOncology, Genomic Health Inc, Gilead Sciences Inc, Incyte Corporation, Lilly USA LLC, Medivation Inc, Merck, Millennium: The Takeda Oncology Company, Mundipharma International Limited, Novartis Pharmaceuticals Corporation, Onyx Pharmaceuticals Inc, Prometheus Laboratories Inc, Regeneron Pharmaceuticals, Sanofi, Seattle Genetics, Spectrum Pharmaceuticals Inc and Teva Oncology.

## RESEARCH TO PRACTICE STAFF AND EXTERNAL

**REVIEWERS** — The scientific staff and reviewers for Research To Practice have no real or apparent conflicts of interest to disclose.

*This educational activity contains discussion of published and/or investigational uses of agents that are not indicated by the Food and Drug Administration. Research To Practice does not recommend the use of any agent outside of the labeled indications. Please refer to the official prescribing information for each product for discussion of approved indications, contraindications and warnings. The opinions expressed are those of the presenters and are not to be construed as those of the publisher or grantors.*

This activity is supported by educational grants from Millennium: The Takeda Oncology Company and Onyx Pharmaceuticals Inc.

## Hardware/Software Requirements:

A high-speed Internet connection  
A monitor set to 1280 x 1024 pixels or more  
Internet Explorer 7 or later, Firefox 3.0 or later, Chrome, Safari 3.0 or later  
Adobe Flash Player 10.2 plug-in or later  
Adobe Acrobat Reader  
(Optional) Sound card and speakers for audio

There is no implied or real endorsement of any product by RTP or the American Nurses Credentialing Center

**Last review date:** July 2013

**Expiration date:** July 2014

## SELECT PUBLICATIONS

- A phase 3, randomized, double-blind, multicenter study comparing oral MLN9708 plus lenalidomide and dexamethasone versus placebo plus lenalidomide and dexamethasone in adult patients with relapsed and/or refractory multiple myeloma.** NCT01564537
- A randomized, multicenter, phase 3 study comparing carfilzomib, lenalidomide, and dexamethasone (CRd) vs lenalidomide and dexamethasone (Rd) in subjects with relapsed multiple myeloma.** NCT01080391
- A randomized, open-label phase 3 study of carfilzomib, melphalan, and prednisone versus bortezomib, melphalan, and prednisone in transplant-ineligible patients with newly diagnosed multiple myeloma.** NCT01818752
- A randomized, open-label, phase 3 study of carfilzomib plus dexamethasone vs bortezomib plus dexamethasone in patients with relapsed multiple myeloma.** NCT01568866
- A randomized, open-label, phase 3 study of carfilzomib vs best supportive care in subjects with relapsed and refractory multiple myeloma.** NCT01302392
- Arnulf B et al. **Updated survival analysis of a randomized phase III study of subcutaneous versus intravenous bortezomib in patients with relapsed multiple myeloma.** *Haematologica* 2012;97(12):1925-8.
- Attal M et al. **Lenalidomide maintenance after stem-cell transplantation for multiple myeloma.** *N Engl J Med* 2012;366(19):1782-91.
- Brinchen S et al. **Efficacy and safety of once-weekly bortezomib in multiple myeloma patients.** *Blood* 2010;116(23):4745-53.
- Cavo M et al. **Bortezomib with thalidomide plus dexamethasone compared with thalidomide plus dexamethasone as induction therapy before, and consolidation therapy after, double autologous stem-cell transplantation in newly diagnosed multiple myeloma: A randomised phase 3 study.** *Lancet* 2010;376(9758):2075-85.
- Davies FE et al. **Are there benefits to long-term bisphosphonate treatment in multiple myeloma (MM) — Insights from temporal analyses of zoledronic acid (ZOL) versus clodronate (CLO) in the MRC Myeloma IX Trial.** *Proc ASCO* 2011;[Abstract 8011](#).
- Dimopoulos MA et al. **Pomalidomide in combination with low-dose dexamethasone: Demonstrates a significant progression free survival and overall survival advantage, in relapsed/refractory MM: A phase 3, multicenter, randomized, open-label study.** *Proc ASH* 2012;[Abstract LBA-6](#).
- Fostier K et al. **Carfilzomib: A novel treatment in relapsed and refractory multiple myeloma.** *Onco Targets Ther* 2012;5:237-44.
- Henry DH et al. **Randomized, double-blind study of denosumab versus zoledronic acid in the treatment of bone metastases in patients with advanced cancer (excluding breast and prostate cancer) or multiple myeloma.** *J Clin Oncol* 2011;29(9):1125-32.
- Jakubowiak AJ et al. **A phase 1/2 study of carfilzomib in combination with lenalidomide and low-dose dexamethasone as a front-line treatment for multiple myeloma.** *Blood* 2012;120(9):1801-9.
- Kumar SK et al. **A phase 1/2 study of weekly MLN9708, an investigational oral proteasome inhibitor, in combination with lenalidomide and dexamethasone in patients with previously untreated multiple myeloma (MM).** *Proc ASH* 2012;[Abstract 332](#).
- Lonial S et al. **Phase I study of twice-weekly dosing of the investigational oral proteasome inhibitor MLN9708 in patients (pts) with relapsed and/or refractory multiple myeloma (MM).** *Proc ASCO* 2012;[Abstract 8017](#).
- Ludwig H et al. **IMWG consensus on maintenance therapy in multiple myeloma.** *Blood* 2012;119(13):3003-15.
- McCarthy PL et al. **Lenalidomide after stem-cell transplantation for multiple myeloma.** *N Engl J Med* 2012;366(19):1770-81.
- Moreau P et al. **The future of therapy for relapsed/refractory multiple myeloma: Emerging agents and novel treatment strategies.** *Semin Hematol* 2012;49:Suppl 1:33-46.
- Moreau P et al. **Subcutaneous versus intravenous administration of bortezomib in patients with relapsed multiple myeloma: A randomised, phase 3, non-inferiority study.** *Lancet Oncol* 2011;12(5):431-40.
- Moreau P et al. **A phase 3 prospective randomized international study (MMY-3021) comparing subcutaneous and intravenous administration of bortezomib in patients with relapsed multiple myeloma.** *Proc ASH* 2010;[Abstract 312](#).
- Morgan GJ et al. **Effects of zoledronic acid versus clodronic acid on skeletal morbidity in patients with newly diagnosed multiple myeloma (MRC Myeloma IX): Secondary outcomes from a randomised controlled trial.** *Lancet Oncol* 2011;12(8):743-52.
- Morgan GJ et al. **First-line treatment with zoledronic acid as compared with clodronic acid in multiple myeloma (MRC Myeloma IX): A randomised controlled trial.** *Lancet* 2010;376(9757):1989-99.
- Palumbo A et al. **Personalized therapy in multiple myeloma according to patient age and vulnerability: A report of the European Myeloma Network (EMN).** *Blood* 2011;118(17):4519-29.

Palumbo A et al. **Bortezomib-melphalan-prednisone-thalidomide followed by maintenance with bortezomib-thalidomide compared with bortezomib-melphalan-prednisone for initial treatment of multiple myeloma: A randomized controlled trial.** *J Clin Oncol* 2010;28(34):5101-9.

Palumbo A et al. **Prevention of thalidomide- and lenalidomide-associated thrombosis in myeloma.** *Leukemia* 2008;22(2):414-23.

Rajkumar SV. **Multiple myeloma: 2011 update on diagnosis, risk-stratification, and management.** *Am J Hematol* 2011;86(1):57-65.

Reeder CB et al. **Once- versus twice-weekly bortezomib induction therapy with CyBorD in newly diagnosed multiple myeloma.** *Blood* 2010;115(16):3416-7.

Reeder CB et al. **Cyclophosphamide, bortezomib and dexamethasone induction for newly diagnosed multiple myeloma: High response rates in a phase II clinical trial.** *Leukemia* 2009;23(7):1337-41.

Richardson PG et al. **Lenalidomide, bortezomib, and dexamethasone combination therapy in patients with newly diagnosed multiple myeloma.** *Blood* 2010;116(5):679-86.

Rosinol L et al. **Superiority of bortezomib, thalidomide, and dexamethasone (VTD) as induction pretransplantation therapy in multiple myeloma: A randomized phase 3 PETHEMA/GEM study.** *Blood* 2012;120(8):1589-96.

Rosinol L et al. **A phase III PETHEMA/GEM randomized trial of postransplant (ASCT) maintenance in multiple myeloma: Superiority of bortezomib/thalidomide compared with thalidomide and alfa-2b interferon.** *Proc ASH* 2011;[Abstract 3962](#).

Siegel DS et al. **A phase 2 study of single-agent carfilzomib (PX-171-003-A1) in patients with relapsed and refractory multiple myeloma.** *Blood* 2012;120(14):2817-25.

Sonneveld P et al. **Bortezomib induction and maintenance treatment in patients with newly diagnosed multiple myeloma: Results of the randomized phase III HOVON-65/ GMMG-HD4 trial.** *J Clin Oncol* 2012;30(24):2946-55.

Warren JL et al. **Multiple myeloma treatment transformed: A population-based study of changes in initial management approaches in the United States.** *J Clin Oncol* 2013;31(16):1984-9.