



Key ASCO Presentations
Issue 3, 2010

Lenalidomide as Initial Treatment for Elderly Patients with Chronic Lymphocytic Leukemia (CLL)

CME INFORMATION

OVERVIEW OF ACTIVITY

Each year, thousands of clinicians and basic scientists sojourn to the American Society of Clinical Oncology (ASCO) Annual Meeting to learn about recent clinical advances that yield alterations in state-of-the-art management for all tumor types. Attracting tens of thousands of attendees from every corner of the globe to both unveil and digest the latest research, ASCO is unmatched in attendance and clinical relevance. Results presented from ongoing trials lead to the emergence of new therapeutic agents and changes in the indications for existing treatments across all cancer medicine. Despite the importance of the conference, the demands of routine practice often limit the amount of time oncology clinicians can realistically dedicate to travel and learning. To bridge the gap between research and patient care, this CME activity will deliver a serial review of the key presentations from the ASCO Annual Meeting and expert perspectives on how these new evidence-based concepts can be applied to routine clinical care. This activity will assist medical oncologists and other cancer clinicians in the formulation of optimal clinical management strategies for patients with diverse forms of cancer.

LEARNING OBJECTIVE

- Cite the most common adverse events and objective response rates with lenalidomide in the initial treatment of elderly patients with CLL.

ACCREDITATION STATEMENT

Research To Practice is accredited by the Accreditation Council for Continuing Medical Education to provide continuing medical education for physicians.

CREDIT DESIGNATION STATEMENT

Research To Practice designates this educational activity for a maximum of 0.25 *AMA PRA Category 1 Credits™*. Physicians should only claim credit commensurate with the extent of their participation in the activity.

HOW TO USE THIS CME ACTIVITY

This CME activity contains slides. To receive credit, the participant should review the slide presentation and complete the Educational Assessment and Credit Form located at CME.ResearchToPractice.com.

CONTENT VALIDATION AND DISCLOSURES

Research To Practice (RTP) is committed to providing its participants with high-quality, unbiased and state-of-the-art education. We assess potential conflicts of interest with faculty, planners and managers of CME activities. Real or apparent conflicts of interest are identified and resolved through a conflict of interest resolution process. In addition, all activity content is reviewed by both a member of the RTP scientific staff and an external, independent physician reviewer for fair balance, scientific objectivity of studies referenced and patient care recommendations.

FACULTY — The following faculty (and their spouses/partners) reported real or apparent conflicts of interest, which have been resolved through a conflict of interest resolution process:

Stephanie A Gregory, MD
The Elodia Kehm Chair of Hematology
Professor of Medicine
Director, Section of Hematology
Rush University Medical Center/Rush University
Chicago, Illinois

Consultant: Amgen Inc, Genentech BioOncology, Novartis Pharmaceuticals Corporation, Spectrum Pharmaceuticals Inc;
Research Support: Celgene Corporation, Curatech Co, Genentech BioOncology, GlaxoSmithKline, Immunomedics Inc, Onyx Pharmaceuticals Inc; Speakers Bureau: Cephalon Inc, Genentech BioOncology, GlaxoSmithKline, Millennium Pharmaceuticals Inc, Spectrum Pharmaceuticals Inc.

EDITOR — Dr Love is president and CEO of Research To Practice, which receives funds in the form of educational grants to develop CME activities from the following commercial interests: Abraxis BioScience, Allos Therapeutics, Amgen Inc, AstraZeneca Pharmaceuticals LP, Aureon Laboratories Inc, Bayer HealthCare Pharmaceuticals/Onyx Pharmaceuticals Inc, Biogen Idec, Boehringer Ingelheim Pharmaceuticals Inc, Bristol-Myers Squibb Company, Celgene Corporation, Cephalon Inc, Eisai Inc, EMD Serono Inc, Genentech BioOncology, Genomic Health Inc, Genzyme Corporation, Lilly USA LLC, Millennium Pharmaceuticals Inc, Monogram BioSciences Inc, Novartis Pharmaceuticals Corporation, OSI Oncology, Sanofi-Aventis and Spectrum Pharmaceuticals Inc.

RESEARCH TO PRACTICE STAFF AND EXTERNAL REVIEWERS — The scientific staff and reviewers for Research To Practice have no real or apparent conflicts of interest to disclose.

This educational activity contains discussion of published and/or investigational uses of agents that are not indicated by the Food and Drug Administration. Research To Practice does not recommend the use of any agent outside of the labeled indications. Please refer to the official prescribing information for each product for discussion of approved indications, contraindications and warnings. The opinions expressed are those of the presenters and are not to be construed as those of the publisher or grantors.

This program is supported by educational grants from Bristol-Myers Squibb Company, Celgene Corporation, Genentech BioOncology and Millennium Pharmaceuticals Inc.

Last review date: July 2010

Expiration date: July 2011

To go directly to the slides, [click here](#).

Oncologists who were reared on the “shock and awe — MTD” approach to systemic anticancer therapy now understand that the chronic disease model is where the field has been headed for years, and about a decade ago, when imatinib was first being administered indefinitely in CML, Paul Goss proved that in breast cancer, fewer relapses occurred when endocrine therapy was extended beyond five years. This important development led Paul and others to compare breast cancer to follicular lymphoma (FL), with its relapsing and remitting nature and long-term requirement for treatment.

In the past six months, the breast cancer/FL analogy has become even more evident, beginning at ASH with the emergence of bendamustine/rituximab (BR), or as I see it, the “TC” of indolent lymphoma, and then at ASCO, where for the first time, we saw conclusive evidence that the duration of rituximab for FL, as in endocrine therapy for breast cancer, really matters.

A slew of imperfect answers for the question of R maintenance in FL have been reported in the past few years, but investigators were skeptical that more R after R-chemo made a difference. Oncologists in practice weren’t as doubtful, and our Patterns of Care data have demonstrated that many have used this strategy for some time. The issue was somewhat laid to rest at ASCO with the [PRIMA presentation](#), and Dr Richard Fisher, the paper’s discussant, didn’t mince words when he stated that R maintenance should now be used in patients with FL requiring treatment. However, after speaking with a number of investigators in the field, I don’t see a consensus yet on the clinical and research implications of this data set, in spite of the reduction in two-year risk of disease progression from 34 percent without R maintenance to 18 percent with it. Meanwhile, the Germans, who already created BR and were kicking butt in the World Cup until they encountered Spain, continue to be ahead of the game and 14 months ago launched a randomized trial evaluating BR followed by either two or four years of R maintenance.

Also in this issue:

1. [Pretransplant R purging and post-transplant maintenance](#) extends progression-free survival in patients with FL.

2. **A Phase II study of the IMiD**[®] lenalidomide combined with rituximab for indolent lymphoma results in complete tumor responses in more than two thirds of patients.
3. In another **Phase II study for patients older than age 65 with CLL**, treatment with lenalidomide results in responses in 62 percent of patients, without Grade III/IV tumor lysis syndrome or flare.

Next up on 5-Minute Journal Club: The chronic disease model comes to multiple myeloma as two major randomized trials demonstrate benefit for lenalidomide maintenance after transplant.

Neil Love, MD

Research To Practice

Miami, Florida

Research To Practice is accredited by the Accreditation Council for Continuing Medical Education to provide continuing medical education for physicians.

Research To Practice designates each of the four educational activities, comprised of a slide set, for a maximum of 0.25 *AMA PRA Category 1 Credits*[™]. Physicians should only claim credit commensurate with the extent of their participation in the activity.

Research To Practice
One Biscayne Tower
2 South Biscayne Boulevard, Suite 3600
Miami, FL 33131

This email was sent to you by Dr Neil Love and Research To Practice. To unsubscribe to future email requests and announcements, [click here](#). To unsubscribe from all email communications, including CME/CNE activities sent by Research To Practice, [click here](#). To update your information on our current distribution lists, [click here](#).

Lenalidomide as Initial Treatment for Elderly Patients with Chronic Lymphocytic Leukemia (CLL)

Presentation discussed in this issue

Badoux X et al. **A Phase II study of lenalidomide as initial treatment of elderly patients with chronic lymphocytic leukemia.** *Proc ASCO 2010*; **Abstract 6508**.

Slides from a presentation at ASCO 2010 and transcribed comments from a recent interview with Stephanie A Gregory, MD (6/18/10)

A Phase II Study of Lenalidomide as Initial Treatment of Elderly Patients with Chronic Lymphocytic Leukemia

Badoux X et al.

Proc ASCO 2010; Abstract 6508.

Research
To Practice®

Introduction

- Median age of diagnosis of patients with chronic lymphocytic leukemia (CLL) is 72 years.
- Elderly patients with CLL are under-represented in clinical trials and have increased toxicity with immunochemotherapy.
- Lenalidomide is an oral immunomodulatory drug that has activity in relapsed CLL (*J Clin Oncol* 2006;24:5343, *Blood* 2008;111:5291).
- **Current study objective:**
 - To evaluate the activity of lenalidomide as initial treatment in elderly patients with CLL.

Badoux X et al. *Proc ASCO* 2010;Abstract 6508.

Research
To Practice®

Phase II Study of Lenalidomide in Elderly Patients with CLL

Eligibility (N = 60)
Untreated and symptomatic CLL
Age ≥ 65 years
PS 0-2



Lenalidomide 5 mg/day x 2 cycles (56 days)
Increase by 5 mg/cycle (28 days) to maximum 25 mg/day
Treatment continued until progression

Allopurinol 300 mg PO QD days 1-14 cycle 1

No mandated antibiotic, antiviral, DVT or tumor flare prophylaxis

Response assessed at the end of cycle 3 and then every 6 cycles

Badoux X et al. *Proc ASCO* 2010;Abstract 6508.

Research
To Practice®

Efficacy Results

Clinical Parameter	NCI Response* (N = 60)			
	Patients, n	%		
Complete response (CR)	6	10		
CRi	3	5		
Nodular partial response	3	5		
Partial response	25	42		
Overall response rate (ORR)	37	62		
Clinical Parameter	Response at Assessment Times			
	3 cycles	9 cycles	15 cycles	21 cycles
ORR, n (%)	24 (40)	34 (57)	36 (61)	30 (57)

* 2008 NCI-WG criteria used; CRi = CR with incomplete blood count recovery.

Badoux X et al. *Proc ASCO* 2010;Abstract 6508.

Research
To Practice®

Efficacy by Patient Pre-Treatment Characteristics

Patient Characteristic	n	ORR	
Age, years	65-74	43	72%
	≥75	17	35%*
IGHV genes	mutated	22	50%
	unmutated	33	73%
FISH hierarchy	del13q	15	73%
	negative	12	50%
	trisomy 12	13	92%
	del 11q	14	57%
	del 17p	6	0%*

* $p < 0.05$

Badoux X et al. *Proc ASCO* 2010;Abstract 6508.

Research
To Practice®

Adverse Events (N = 60)

Adverse Event	Grade ≥3
Neutropenia	38%
Thrombocytopenia	<14%
Neutropenic fever	5%
Pneumonia/bronchitis	3%
Fatigue	3%
Sepsis	2%
Tumor flare*	0%

* 50% of patients had Grade 1/2 tumor flare.

Badoux X et al. *Proc ASCO* 2010;Abstract 6508.

Research
To Practice®

Conclusions

- Lenalidomide as a single agent induces clinical responses in the front-line treatment of elderly patients with CLL.
 - ORR: 62%
 - 2-year overall survival: 90% (data not shown)
 - 2-year progression-free survival: 60% (data not shown)
- Quality of responses improve over time.
- Myelosuppression is the most common toxicity.
- No severe tumor flare or tumor lysis syndrome was observed.
- A Phase II trial of lenalidomide in combination with rituximab as up-front treatment in CLL is ongoing.

Badoux X et al. *Proc ASCO* 2010;Abstract 6508; Rai K. *ASCO* 2010;Discussion. Research To Practice®

Investigator comment on lenalidomide as initial treatment for CLL in the elderly

With lenalidomide in CLL, we don't use the doses used in myeloma or MDS because patients with CLL get tumor lysis syndrome and tumor flare, in which the lymph nodes become large, swollen, red and painful.

In this MD Anderson study of patients with CLL over 65 and requiring treatment, investigators started gingerly with a 5-mg daily dose of lenalidomide for two 28-day cycles, and then if patients tolerated that, they escalated by another five mg every cycle, up to a 25-mg dose.

There was a 62 percent response rate and it was relatively nontoxic. There was no Grade III or IV tumor lysis or tumor flare. The presenter also noted that the drug continues to work over time, so you can't be impatient and stop after the first couple of cycles because best response occurred after nine cycles.

A new trial concept in CLL is maintenance lenalidomide — after patients have had a response from FCR, for example. A few years ago we investigated alemtuzumab maintenance but there was too much toxicity from immunosuppression. We need something in CLL for maintenance, similar to the rituximab maintenance in the low-grade lymphomas.

Interview with Stephanie A Gregory, MD, June 18, 2010

Research
To Practice®